

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/944,326	08/30/2001	Martin Gleave	UBC.P-020-2	2324
21121 75	90 02/17/2004		EXAM	INER
OPPEDAHL A	AND LARSON LLP		LACOURCIER	E, KAREN A
DILLON, CO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1635	

DATE MAILED: 02/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/944,326	GLEAVE ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Karen A. Lacourciere	1635
Period fo		unication appears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address
- If the - If NC - Failu - Any i eame Status	O period for reply is specified above, the maximum ure to reply within the set or extended period for repreply received by the Office later than three month ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	(30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ply will, by statute, cause the application to become AE is after the mailing date of this communication, even if	ITHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) f		
2a)[_	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3)[n for allowance except for formal matt ctice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	
Dispositi	ion of Claims		
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the	application.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 1,2,4-15,	17,18,20 and 21 is/are withdrawn fron	n consideration.
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
,			

App	olica	tion	Pap	ers
-----	-------	------	-----	-----

48	a) Of the above claim(s) $1.2.4-15.17.18.20$ and 21 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5)□ C	claim(s) is/are allowed.
6)⊠ C	claim(s) <u>1-3, 6-9, 12-16, 22-27</u> is/are rejected.
7)□ C	claim(s) is/are objected to.
8)□ C	claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application	n Papers
9)[] Th	ne specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10)∐ Th	ne drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
A	pplicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
R	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)[] Th	ne oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
•	All b) Some * c) None of:
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
J.	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See	e the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)
sinc	ce a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.

a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(S
-------------	---

Actaciment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	6) Other: .

Art Unit: 1635

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I in the paper filed October 30, 2003 is acknowledged.

Claims 1, 2, 4-15, 17, 18, 20 and 21 and SEQ ID NO:5 and 12 (within claims 22-27) are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Election was made without traverse in the paper filed October 30, 2003.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 09/944,326

Art Unit: 1635

Claims 1-3, 6-9, 12-16 and 22- 27 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of copending Application No. 10/080,794. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 1 is fully encompassed in claims 1-3, 6-9, 22, 23 and 27 of the instant Application. Further, the compositions as claimed by claims 12-16 and 24-26 are fully disclosed in the copending application, and necessarily required for the methods claimed in 10/080,794 and, therefore, are obvious compositions in view of the disclosure of 10/080,794.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 8, 22, 23 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sensibar et al. (cancer Research, 55, 2431-2437, 1995, cited on PTO 1449).

Sensibar et al. discloses phosphorothioate antisense oligonucleotides fully complementary to a nucleic acid encoding Sulfated glycoprotein-2 (an alternative name for TRPM-2), including the translation initiation codon. Sensibar et al. do not disclose

Art Unit: 1635

that their oligonucleotides delay progression of prostatic tumor cells to an androgen-independent state or enhancing chemo- or radiation sensitivity of cancer cells, however, the antisense compositions of Sensibar et al. meet all of the limitations of the compositions claimed in claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 8, 22, 23 and 27 and, therefore, would be expected to inherently have these properties.

Page 4

Therefore, Sensibar et al. anticipates claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 8, 22, 23 and 27.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen A. Lacourciere whose telephone number is (703) 308-7523. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John L. LeGuyader can be reached on (703) 308-0447. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Karen A. Lacourciere January 12, 2004

(AREN A. LACOURCIERE, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Ga comune